UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,643	08/02/2006	Markus Steffens	06095	4306
23338 7590 09/08/2008 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			GUADALUPE, YARITZA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/597,643	STEFFENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yaritza Guadalupe-McCall	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	/ 					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	parte quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-14 and 16</u> is/are objected to.	·					
<u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		o by the Examiner				
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2006</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		·				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		., .,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/2006. 6) ☑ Other:						
гарет тобулиан Date <u>10/23/2000</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/597,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 8 recites the limitation "reinforcing strips" in line 3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2841

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levinson et al. (US 7,363,719) in view of Lindner et al. (US 5,651,186) and Szumer et al. (US 7,290,346).

With respect to claim 1, Levinson et al. discloses a level comprising a level body (2) produced by injection molding thermoplastic material (See Column 2, lines 46 – 48), which body has at least one recess (17) for a position sensor, such as a bubble level (12), as well as a reinforcing insert (29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 54, 56, 57, 62), which is overmolded at least in areas and is materially connected with the level body at least in some areas.

Levinson et al. does not disclose the reinforced inserts made of thermoplastic material and fiber- reinforced plastic as stated in claim 1. Levinson et al. does not disclose the level body made of a fiber-reinforced, such as a short or long fiber-reinforced plastic, and wherein the plastic of the level body preferably matching the plastic of the reinforcing insert as stated in claim 4.

Regarding claim 1: Lindner et al. discloses a liquid level vial having a reinforced insert made of injected plastic material and reinforced thermoplastic material in some areas (See Column 6, lines 17-23) in order to improve the structure and reduce breakage of the vial (See Column 4, lines 38-47). Szumer et al. teaches a bubble vial insert (11) made of a plastic

Art Unit: 2841

material such as glass fiber in order to increase rigidity of the structure (See Column 3, lines 25 - 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Levinson et al. by replacing its reinforced insert with an insert made of glass fiber and areas of thermoplastic material as taught by Lindner et al. and Szumer et al. in order to improve the structure by increasing rigidity and reduce breakage of the vial (See Column 3, lines 25 - 27 of Szumer et al. and Column 4, lines 38 - 47 of Lindner et al.).

In regards to claim 2, the combination of Levinson et al., Lindner et al. and Szumer et al. sets forth a level characterized in that the reinforcing insert (29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 54, 56, 57, 62 as modified above) is made of glass fiber reinforced plastic which extend as a unit across the entire or substantially the entire length of the reinforcing insert.

With regards to claim 3, the combination of Levinson et al., Lindner et al. and Szumer et al. teach a level characterized in that the reinforcing insert (29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 54, 56, 57, 62) extends along the longitudinal axis of the level body (2) and across its substantially entire length and particularly along the upper and/or lower longitudinal edge area, which is defined on the exterior side by the measurement base (7) of the level body (2).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Levinson et al., Lindner et al. and Szumer et al. shows a level characterized in that the level body (2) is made of a thermoplastic (As disclosed by Levinson et al. in Column 4, lines 46 - 48) with reinforcing inserts made of fiber reinforced

Art Unit: 2841

materials such as glass fiber combined with thermoplastic materials in order to increase the rigidity of the vial, but fails to specify the use of a fiber-reinforced material, such as a short or long fiber-reinforced plastic, and wherein the plastic of the level body preferably matching the plastic of the reinforcing insert. However, the particular type of material used to make the body, absent any criticality, is only considered to be the use of a "preferred" or "optimum" material out of a plurality of well known materials that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have find obvious to provide using routine experimentation based, among other things, on the intended use of Applicant's apparatus, i.e., suitability for the intended use of Applicant's apparatus. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) where the court stated that a selection of a material on the basis of suitability for intended use of an apparatus would be entirely obvious. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the body of a fiber reinforced plastic since Levinson et al., Lindner et al. and Szumer et al. already teach the benefits of using a reinforced material for the insert as stated above and because the courts have held that using a particular material on the basis of suitability for intended use of an apparatus would be entirely obvious.

With respect to claim 15, the combination of Levinson et al., Lindner et al. and Szumer et al. disclose a level characterized in that the reinforcing insert (29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 54, 56, 57, 62) and the level body (2) have the same matrix, particularly being made of or comprising polyamide (i.e. polymer / plastic).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,643 Page 6

Art Unit: 2841

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5 - 14 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yaritza Guadalupe-McCall whose telephone number is (571)272-2244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,643 Page 7

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YGM

September 3, 2008

/Yaritza Guadalupe-McCall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841